

# Resource management and QoS in IEEE 802.16d/e and 802.16j networks

**Alexander Sayenko (PhD),  
Senior Research Engineer (Nokia-NRC/Helsinki)**


# Outline

- Short introduction
- Resource management in 802.16d/e
  - Frame structure
  - QoS model
  - Scheduling algorithms
- Resource management in multi-hop 802.16j
- Power management in 802.16e networks


# Scheduling in IEEE 802.16d/e

# Scheduling points


- 802.16 network
  - Responsibility of the **BS scheduler**
  - Resource allocation in the UL and DL directions
- BS output wired interface
  - Usually, no special actions are required
  - The BS output wired interface **should not be** a bottleneck
  - FCFS suffices
- Station radio interface
  - Responsibility of the SS uplink scheduler
  - Resource allocation for local UL transport connections



# Frame structure (IEEE 802.16 OFDM)




# Frame structure (IEEE 802.16 OFDMA)



# QoS model

- The BS allocates **physical queues** for downlink connections
- The BS keeps **uplink virtual queues** that are updated by the bandwidth requests
- The BS scheduler allocates resources, UL and DL, based on the queue sizes and QoS requirements
  - The scheduler can serve both UL and DL directions
  - There could be separate UL and DL schedulers
- It is possible to apply **AQM** to the BS DL queues and SS UL queues



# QoS classes (IEEE 802.16)

QoS parameter	UGS	ErtPS	RtPS	NrtPS	BE
Min BW	X	X	X	X	
Max BW		X	X	X	X
Latency	X	X	X		
Jitter		X			
Grant interval	X	X			
Polling interval		X	X		
Traffic priority		X	X	X	X
BW requests		X	X	X	X
Contention		X		X	X
Purpose	VoIP	VoIP	Video	Web/FTP	




# 802.16 scheduling

- Several BWA facts:
  - Fixed **frame duration**
  - Fixed, integer number of **slots per frame**
  - Fixed duration of one **slot**
- Scheduling algorithms
  - Similar to ATM, it is possible to base “conceptually” resource allocation on the **round-robin** schedulers
    - We have to allocate an **integer number of slots** -> similar to the weight value
    - Number of slots is determined by the QoS requirements and queue sizes
    - BE connections are served by the DRR-like scheduler
  - Fair-queuing is feasible, but is too complicated
  - Deadline-based schedulers cannot be applied to uplink
- Other scheduling ideas:
  - Proportional fair queuing
    - Picks up a connection with the best MCS
    - For the BE connections to achieve a better spectral efficiency
    - Is not a good solution for the QoS connections

# 802.16 scheduling steps


- Reserve slots for connections
  - Account for the QoS requirements, queue sizes, and MCS
  - Calculate the number of slots to assign
- Split allocated slots between data bursts
  - Is one data burst enough?
  - For large frame durations, several data bursts might be necessary
  - It is possible to decouple delay provisioning from the bandwidth provisioning
  - Usually, frame duration is 5ms, one burst suffices
- Allocate unused slots
  - BWA systems are non-work-conserving by nature
  - If there are unused slots, they might be allocated for other purposes:
    - BE connections
    - Polling



# SS uplink scheduler

- Grant per connection
  - The BS scheduler allocates explicitly resources to **each uplink connection**
  - The complexity is pushed to BS
  - **Larger** MAP overhead
  - No need to do any scheduling at SS
  - Slot granularity
- Grant per station
  - The BS scheduler allocates uplink resources to **a whole SS**
  - The complexity is pushed to SS
  - **Less** MAP overhead
  - An SS has to run an uplink scheduler algorithm
  - Byte granularity

Example of the grant per station mode



# SS uplink scheduler algorithms


- The general considerations are the same as for the BS scheduler:
  - Burst of a known size
  - A task is to allocate a certain, integer, number of bytes to each connection
- The final choice may depend on the terminal type
  - Mobile terminal with **scarce** power resources:
    - PQ
    - Simple WRR based schedulers
  - Fixed stations
    - Sophisticated WRR schedulers
    - FQ

# Polling


- Purpose of the polling
  - Ask a connection for data (rtPS)
  - Improve timing characteristics (ertPS)
  - If there are free slots, we can poll nrtPS connections
- Price for the polling
  - It is enough to allocate **one slot** in the uplink direction so that a connection can send the **bandwidth request**
  - One uplink **burst**, size of which is **one slot**, should be allocated
  - A **burst** requires an **entry** in the UL-MAP message, size of which is **4** bytes
  - UL-MAP message is encoded with QPSK-1/2 MCS repetition factor 2,4,6
  - In the case of QPSK-1/2 Rep 6, **1 byte needs 1 slot**
  - One polling data burst needs **5** slots
- Alternative solutions
  - Rely upon uplink contention (cannot be applied to rtPS)
  - Multicast polling
  - Uplink fast feedback channel (OFDMA only)

# IEEE 802.16j multi-hop relays

# Multi-hop relays




# IEEE 802.16j multi-hop relays



## Transparent relay

- Is completely invisible to SS
- **Does not send** MAP messages
- Supports only the **centralized** scheduling




## Non-transparent relay


- Is recognized by SS as a base station
- **Sends** MAP messages and other downlink broadcast information
- Supports **centralized and distributed** scheduling



# Transparent relay



# Non-transparent relay



# Power management in IEEE 802.16

# What do we spend energy for?


- Hardware components
  - Chipsets
  - DSPs
  - Processors
- Hardware design
  - Interfaces
  - Buses
  - Regulators/Amplifiers
- Applications
- Backlight
- Radio interface
  - Data transmission
  - Exchange of management information with a BS and a network controller

# Key findings

- Radio interface
  - A terminal can perform power consumption **optimizations**, but
  - Network operational **configuration** has also a big impact
  - A terminal should be able to spend more time in the **sleep** mode, and
  - Should be able to switch **quickly** between active and sleep modes
- Multiradio access
  - Usually, 2/3G power consumption is higher than in 802.11
  - Use a transparent handover between different wireless technologies
  - Cellular networks may broadcast information on, e.g., 802.11 availability
  - IEEE 802.21 may assist in this process
- Reduce power consumption of always-on applications
  - Keep-alive messages
  - Non-synchronized periodical updates
- **Less you do, less you consume**

# Power management solutions

- Information on when a burst starts
  - No need to be active during bursts allocated for other stations
  - We still have to listen for the downlink broadcast messages
- Sleep mode
  - A station is inactive for a certain period of time
  - Sleep time is negotiated with the BS
  - Can be used with an active data transmission
- Idle mode
  - A station listens periodically to the DL data sent by the BS
  - Is suitable for a “stand-by” mode
- Disconnected mode



# Publications

- A. Sayenko, O. Alanen, J. Karhula, T. Hämäläinen. “[Ensuring the QoS requirements in 802.16 scheduling](#)”. In the 9th IEEE/ACM International Symposium on Modeling, Analysis and Simulation of Wireless and Mobile Systems. Oct 2006
- A. Sayenko, O. Alanen, T. Hämäläinen. “[Adaptive contention resolution for VoIP services in IEEE 802.16 networks](#)”. In the 8th IEEE International Symposium on a World of Wireless, Mobile and Multimedia Networks. Jun 2007
- A. Sayenko, O. Alanen, T. Hämäläinen. “[Adaptive contention resolution parameters for the IEEE 802.16 networks](#)”. Proceedings of QShine. Aug 2007
- A. Sayenko, V. Tykhomyrov, H. Martikainen, O. Alanen. “[Performance analysis of the IEEE 802.16 ARQ mechanism](#)”. In the 10th IEEE/ACM International Symposium on Modeling, Analysis and Simulation of Wireless and Mobile Systems. 2007. accepted for publication
- O. Alanen. “[Multicast Polling and Efficient VoIP Connections in IEEE 802.16 Networks](#)”. In the 10th IEEE/ACM International Symposium on Modeling, Analysis and Simulation of Wireless and Mobile Systems. 2007. accepted for publication
- A. Sayenko, O. Alanen, T. Hämäläinen. “[Scheduling solution for the IEEE 802.16 base station](#)”. Special Issue of Computer Networks. accepted for publication
- Several submitted papers